Filed 12/2/10 by Clerk of Supreme Court IN THE SUPREME COURT STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

	2010 ND 226	
Tilmer Everett,		Petitioner and Appellant
v.		
State of North Dakota,		Respondent and Appellee
	No. 20100222	_
Appeal from the D District, the Honorable Br		ounty, South Central Judicial
AFFIRMED.		
Per Curiam.		
Tilmer Everett, P.C	D. Box 5521, Bismarck, N.D.	58506; self-represented.

Cynthia Mae Feland, Assistant State's Attorney, Courthouse, 514 East Thayer, Bismarck, N.D. 58501-4413, for respondent and appellee.

Everett v. State No. 20100222

Per Curiam.

- [¶1] Tilmer Everett appealed from the district court's summary dismissal of his application for post-conviction relief. This Court summarily affirmed Everett's conviction for gross sexual imposition in <u>State v. Everett</u>, 2008 ND 126, 756 N.W.2d 344. We affirmed the district court's denials of Everett's prior applications for post-conviction relief in <u>Everett v. State</u>, 2008 ND 199, 757 N.W.2d 530, and <u>Everett v. State</u>, 2010 ND 4, 789 N.W.2d 282.
- [¶2] On appeal, Everett argues that he was denied effective assistance of counsel in his direct appeal, that his conviction was obtained by the unconstitutional failure of the State to disclose evidence favorable to the defendant, and that the conviction was obtained by the use of evidence from an unlawful arrest. We affirm under N.D.R.App.P. 35.1(a)(6) and (7); see also Everett, 2010 ND 4, ¶ 1, 789 N.W.2d 282 (citing Klose v. State, 2008 ND 143, ¶ 10, 752 N.W.2d 192 (res judicata precludes claims or variations of claims raised in previous proceedings, and misuse of process precludes claims that could have been raised in a prior post-conviction proceeding or other proceeding)).
- [¶3] Gerald W. VandeWalle, C.J. Dale V. Sandstrom Daniel J. Crothers Mary Muehlen Maring Carol Ronning Kapsner